Christine Godfrey, Chief Regulatory Division New England District Army Corps of Engineers 696 Virginia Road Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Chris:

Enclosed you will find a copy of a report regarding the Connecticut Route 11 Project. EPA New England contracted with Weston Solutions, Inc., and its subcontractor, Transportation Planning and Design, Inc. (TPD), to further evaluate the practicability of the upgrade alternatives for the Route 11 Project. As you recall, EPA raised a number of questions regarding this issue that it posed to both the ConnDOT and FHWA. Both agencies responded with useful information, but several key questions remained. We understood that neither ConnDOT nor FHWA could afford the staff resources and time necessary to develop a complete and thorough response to those remaining questions, so EPA hired TPD to do so.

We forward this recently completed report for your information in advance of the interagency principals meeting being scheduled for late January. Copies also were forwarded to the ConnDOT, FHWA, and USFWS. As you will read, TPD concludes that the community sensitive upgrade alternative could satisfy the safety deficiencies and likely satisfy the capacity deficiencies identified for Routes 82 and 85. TPD raises several other interesting points in its report and suggests other potential alternatives not evaluated in the DEIS and subsequent NEPA documents.

Sincerely,

Matt Schweisberg Office of Ecosystem Protection

Amy Jackson-Grove Federal Highway Administration Connecticut Division 628-2 Hebron Avenue, Suite 303 Glastonbury, CT 06033-5007

Dear Amy:

Enclosed you will find a copy of a report regarding the Connecticut Route 11 Project. EPA New England contracted with Weston Solutions, Inc., and its subcontractor, Transportation Planning and Design, Inc. (TPD), to further evaluate the practicability of the upgrade alternatives for the Route 11 Project. As you recall, EPA raised a number of questions regarding this issue that it posed to both the ConnDOT and FHWA. Both agencies responded with useful information, but several key questions remained. We understood that neither ConnDOT nor FHWA could afford the staff resources and time necessary to develop a complete and thorough response to those remaining questions, so EPA hired TPD to do so.

We forward this recently completed report for your information in advance of the interagency principals meeting being scheduled for late January. Copies also were forwarded to the ConnDOT, Corps, and USFWS. As you will read, TPD concludes that the community sensitive upgrade alternative could satisfy the safety deficiencies and likely satisfy the capacity deficiencies identified for Routes 82 and 85. TPD raises several other interesting points in its report and suggests other potential alternatives not evaluated in the DEIS and subsequent NEPA documents.

Sincerely,

Matt Schweisberg Office of Ecosystem Protection

Michael Bartlett, Supervisor New England Field Office 70 Commercial Street, Suite 300 Concord, NH 03301-5087

Dear Mike:

Enclosed you will find a copy of a report regarding the Connecticut Route 11 Project. EPA New England contracted with Weston Solutions, Inc., and its subcontractor, Transportation Planning and Design, Inc. (TPD), to further evaluate the practicability of the upgrade alternatives for the Route 11 Project. As you recall, EPA raised a number of questions regarding this issue that it posed to both the ConnDOT and FHWA. Both agencies responded with useful information, but several key questions remained. We understood that neither ConnDOT nor FHWA could afford the staff resources and time necessary to develop a complete and thorough response to those remaining questions, so EPA hired TPD to do so.

We forward this recently completed report for your information in advance of the interagency principals meeting being scheduled for late January. Copies also were forwarded to the ConnDOT, FHWA, and Corps. As you will read, TPD concludes that the community sensitive upgrade alternative could satisfy the safety deficiencies and likely satisfy the capacity deficiencies identified for Routes 82 and 85. TPD raises several other interesting points in its report and suggests other potential alternatives not evaluated in the DEIS and subsequent NEPA documents.

Sincerely,

Matt Schweisberg Office of Ecosystem Protection

Edgar T. Hurle
Director of Environmental Planning
Bureau of Policy and Planning
Connecticut Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 317546
Newington, CT 06131-7546

Dear Ned:

Enclosed you will find a copy of a report regarding the Connecticut Route 11 Project. EPA New England contracted with Weston Solutions, Inc., and its subcontractor, Transportation Planning and Design, Inc. (TPD), to further evaluate the practicability of the upgrade alternatives for the Route 11 Project. As you recall, EPA raised a number of questions regarding this issue that it posed to both the ConnDOT and FHWA. Both agencies responded with useful information, but several key questions remained. We understood that neither ConnDOT nor FHWA could afford the staff resources and time necessary to develop a complete and thorough response to those remaining questions, so EPA hired TPD to do so.

We forward this recently completed report for your information in advance of the interagency principals meeting being scheduled for late January. Copies also were forwarded to the Corps, FHWA, and USFWS. As you will read, TPD concludes that the community sensitive upgrade alternative could satisfy the safety deficiencies and likely satisfy the capacity deficiencies identified for Routes 82 and 85. TPD raises several other interesting points in its report and suggests other potential alternatives not evaluated in the DEIS and subsequent NEPA documents.

Sincerely,

Matt Schweisberg Office of Ecosystem Protection